

WLPC NP Core Group meeting with Wiltshire Council Vicky Burvill on Friday 19th January 2018

Present: Vicky Burvill (WC), Cllr Sandra Gamble Chair WLPC, Cllr Richard Scott, Cllr Julia Ford and Anthony Northcote (Town-Planning.co.uk)

1. **Responses to consultation:** AN reported that there were 58 responses which was quite a good return. Wiltshire Council's response was late and received outside the consultation period. SG, RS and JCF assured VB that they were satisfied that the consultation was well advertised, promoted and accessible. There was little response from the Statutory Bodies.
2. **Two Objections** were received: Gladman Developments Ltd (policies) and a resident (wildlife), details on Response Forms. Most supported the site H1A with access from Lavington Lane (with reduced speed limit) as Sandfield was deemed too narrow and congested. Only one comment received regarding number of houses.

VB suggested WLPC apply to the Area Board and Transport Group to reduce the speed limit from 40 to 30. The three schools had already expressed their support for this, also need photos and evidence to support application. Add to Plan: Non-land Planning Issue as an objective to "improving pedestrian environment".

3. **AN spoke** of the issues of Access, including unsuitability of Sandfield: does not meet width requirement and gave VB a copy of the measurements undertaken by WLPC. More detail needed in Plan of the justifications for choosing this site over the other shortlisted ones. A Pre-planning Application from Gaigers needs to show the community what the new junction would look like, and the justification for it outweighing any negative impacts. Gaigers have already undertaken various surveys (eg ecological, archeological, topographical and transport).
4. VB comments:
 - suggested that there were other tiers of people of the Housing Register who could benefit from Shared Housing Scheme (eg Band 4 could be just a local close connection).
 - make sure that DAPS is involved with Gaigers on the layout design of the proposed extra car-parking on site H1A to ensure that pedestrian access through to the school is safe.
 - Discuss and note what potential projects could be undertaken for the benefit of the community using the CIL contribution.
 - Suggested having maps in line with text, and said she would provide AN with a good resolution copy of Map 3 (WC no longer providing a mapping service).
5. AN comments:
 - Settlement Boundary (SB) can be included as has been consulted upon. WLPC had commented on the WC DPD consultation that we would like included educational establishments (result due May 2018). Dauntsey's School had requested that the SB include the whole of the site, but it was agreed that it would be sensible to include the new Pavilion, thus showing consistency. WC said Site H1A cannot be included until it is built.

- Funding : we have to spend our grant by end of January . New funding scheme is more flexible (from 6 to 12 months) but will take account of any past funding.
 - Sites: no other shortlisted site owners have responded to the consultation.
6. VB - Discussion on numbers – agreed that “up to” Is better than “around” 50 houses. WC DPD states that modest development in large villages of 12%, whereas 50 houses is 14%. Also all agreed to remove “phasing” as not helpful to developer or community.

Document any changes and keep the community up to date.

JCF 20/1/18